


Hillary Is a Liar

Politics David Jeffers March 29, 2008

There I said it! Since I am a Christian you might think that is a little harsh. If so, check out Jesus' words in John 8:55, "Yet you have not known Him, but I know Him. And if I say, 'I do not know Him,' I shall be a liar like you; but I do know Him and keep His word." Yep, that's Jesus calling the Pharisees liars.

The number of lies told by Hillary is staggering. Here are just a few:

She said she could relate to the hurt of racism because she was singled out during a junior high intramural soccer game. Problem with that is Hillary's junior high school did not have intramural soccer.



WWW.PRECISIONPAYROLL.COM (877) 94H040 TOLL FREE

Hillary said she was so worried about Chelsea on 9/11 because her daughter was jogging around the World Trade Center when the second plane hit. Problem with that is Chelsea was at a friend's apartment and saw the second plane hit on television.

Hillary claims her mother told her she was named after the famous mountain climber Sir Edmund Hillary. Problem is Hillary was born in 1947 when Sir Edmund was not bravely climbing Mount Everest; instead he was bravely tending bees. His Everest escapades would not come until Hillary was 5 ½ years old. So of course when clarifying the story, Hillary has her spokeswoman Jennifer Hanley blame dear old Mom: "It was a sweet family story her mother shared to inspire greatness in her daughter, to great results I might add." Pshaw!

The most recent lie out of the Clinton Whopper Hopper is her taking sniper fire while visiting troops in Bosnia in 1996; she said she had to run for cover! Problem is CBS News was with her and there is footage showing guite the opposite happening.

When confronted with this truth, Senator Clinton said that she had accurately written about the story in her book and said "for the first time in 12 or so years I misspoke." No really, she actually said that!

Problem is Hillary has actually told this story on two other recent occasions; on February 29, 2008 in Waco, Texas, and in Dubuque, Iowa, last year, December 29th, 2007. Now this is really bad; the Clinton War Machine had some time to do some "fact" checking and you would think her people could have told her this. It is so hard to find a good spin doctor these days!

Hillary appeared Wednesday night on FOX News' "On the Record with Great Van Susteren" and when Greta pushed her on this issue, Hillary said the American people are going to look at her resume because they are hiring a President. Let's look at this a moment, shall we?

Imagine a prospective employee coming into your office for a job interview and you notice on her resume that she's been caught lying a number of times. When you confront her about the lies, she flippantly says on occasion she misspeaks. Here's the definition of misspeak: "to speak, utter, or pronounce incorrectly"; "to speak inaccurately, inappropriately, or too hastily. Now of all the synonyms listed at thesaurus.com, nowhere is lying listed or implied.

Why? Because to lie means "a false statement made with deliberate intent to deceive; an intentional untruth; a falsehood; something intended or serving to convey a false impression; imposture."

Given the difference between the words "misspeak" and "lie" which category does Senator Clinton's concoctions fall? So American voter, why would you knowingly hire a pathological liar?

And please don't give the tired excuse that all politicians lie because they do not. Many politicians lie, but not all and that is no excuse for accepting bold-face lying from our public officials. I might add that these politicians run on a platform of integrity.

It is time to once and for all teach the Clintons that America is tired of their lies. Maybe New York is okay with Hillary, but the rest of America needs to say enough.

Psalm 5:9 says:

Not a word from their mouth can be trusted; their heart is filled with destruction. Their throat is an open grave; with their tongue they speak deceit.

So ask yourself, can what Hillary says be trusted?

Opinions expressed by contributing writers are expressly their own and may or may not represent the opinions of The New Media Journal, BasicsProject.org, its editorial staff, board or organization. Reprint inquiries should be directed to the author of the article. Contact the editor for a link request to The New Media Journal. The New Media Journal is not affiliated with any mainstream media organizations. The New Media Journal is not supported by any political organization. The New Media Journal is a division of BasicsProject.org, a non-profit, non-partisan 501(c)(3) research and educational initiative. Responsibility for the accuracy of cited content is expressly that of the contributing author. All original content offered by The New Media Journal and BasicsProject.org is copyrighted. Basics Project's goal is the liberation of the American voter from partisan politics and special interests in government through the primary-source, fact-based education of the American people.

FAIR USE NOTICE: This site contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available in our efforts to advance a more in-depth understanding of critical issues facing the world. We believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 USC Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to: http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.shtml. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.

The New Media Journal.us © 2009 A Division of BasicsProject.org

http://www.newmediajournal.us/guest/d jeffers/2008/print/03292008.htm